

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

DANNY R. CHESTINE, JR., )

Plaintiff, )

vs. )

NORTH LAS VEGAS JUSTICE COURT, )  
 INC., )

Defendant. )

Case No.: 2:23-cv-01674-GMN-EJY

**ORDER**

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”), (ECF No. 4), of United States Magistrate Judge Elayna J. Youchah, which recommends dismissing Plaintiff Danny Chestine Jr.’s case without prejudice.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a *de novo* determination of those portions to which objections are made. D. Nev. R. IB 3-2(b). The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s R&R where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed. (*See* R&R, ECF No. 4) (setting a December 12, 2023, deadline for objections).


1 Accordingly,

2 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 4), is  
3 **ACCEPTED and ADOPTED** in full.

4 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the case is **DISMISSED without prejudice**.

5 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court is instructed to close the case.

6 Dated this 13 day of December, 2023.

7  
8   
9 \_\_\_\_\_  
Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge  
United States District Court